Determination of receipt of UPZ assistance using the SAW method
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1. Introduction

Zakat is the only worship in Islamic Shari'a which explicitly stated that there are officers (QS. Al-Maidah: 60 and 103). This is because zakat has a very strategic position in determining welfare, improving the economy, that can happen if the collection and distribution are managed in a trustful, transparent and professional manner.

Based on the Perpu BAZNAS Number 2 of 2016 concerning the establishment and working procedures of zakat collection units, BAZNAS is an institution that has the authority to carry out the task of managing zakat nationally. To facilitate the management of zakat, each regency or city has a National Zakat Agency called BAZNAS. In its implementation, BAZNAS is assisted by UPZ or ‘Unit Pengumpul Zakat’. UPZ is an organization formed by BAZNAS or National Zakat Agency to assist in the collection of zakat. The result of zakat collection by UPZ must be deposited to BAZNAS.

After the zakat is collected, the BAZNAS will utilize the zakat for various purposes including scholarships. The scholarships held are called UPZ scholarships. Each school can send data of students who are entitled to receive UPZ scholarships. Then BAZNAS determines who are eligible to receive...
UPZ scholarships. However, the determination of the acceptance of UPZ scholarships is still experiencing difficulties, so a system is needed that can assist BAZNAS in determining the receipt of UPZ scholarships.

References of this research are decision support system using Simple Additive Weighting capable of displaying the result of the weighting and calculation based on the criteria in easy and straightforward and Simple Additive Weighting method can produce the expected result was found in [1]. Other study using Simple Additive Weighting can make a decision more effective, efficient was found in [2] and Simple Additive Weighting can make a decision more accurate was found in [3]. Ciptayani & Dewi [4] using Simple Additive Weighting on decision support system make a decision making more accurate. Afshari et al [5] produce that SAW ignores the fuzziness of executives judgment during the decision-making process. Pratiwi et al [6] using SAW to generate the recommendation majoring result that will be given to students in the recommendation list. Setyawan et al [7] implemented SAW and WP to analyzed the differences in execution time. The research produces that SAW method relatively quick because SAW has a simpler process. Siahaan et al [8] using SAW to solve decision that can not be completed manually. Esclamando et al [9] using SAW to create a function to calculate the ranking score. Geetha & Sekar [10] using SAW to determine the optional combination of operating parameters of an engine. Irvanizahm [11], Sam et al [12], Susilowati et al [13], Ibrom & Sumiati [14] and Melia [15] using SAW to display a list of data alternative by sorting the best alternative values. SAW method can be used in that case study. Hidayat & Utami [16] using SAW to determine weighting sum of each alternative. Other study [17] SAW method can choose the best alternative from several alternatives by using each criterion. Atmojo et al [18] using SAW to get smartphone recommendation based on a criterion. Ningsih et al [19] using SAW to determine the worthy or not the inpatient in hospitals pringsewu. Other study [20] using SAW to calculate all criteria to determine the best collage in pringsewu.

Based on the research already mentioned it can be concluded that SAW can be applied to alternative recommendation cases by ranking. So as to encourage researchers to apply SAW to the determination of acceptance of UPZ case study assistance conducted at Muhammadiyah Salaman Vocational School. In this study, the criteria used to determine the receipt of UPZ assistance funds were ‘pendidikan ayah’, ‘pekerjaan ayah’, ‘penghasilan ayah’, ‘pendidikan ibu’, ‘pekerjaan ibu’, ‘penghasilan ibu’, and ‘jumlah saudara kandung’.

2. Method

2.1. Simple Additive Weighting (SAW)

The research method is a scientific way to get information based on purpose. The research method used is experiment. The experiment was carried out by applying the SAW method to determine the acceptance of UPZ assistance at Muhammadiyah Salaman Vocational School.

The research method that is carried out using the SAW method is as follows:

• Determine the parameters used as a reference in determining the acceptance of assistance.
• Giving value based on parameters used as a reference.
• Normalization of the matrix for comparison with all alternative ratings which is the benefit or cost equation.
• Determine the parameters used as a reference in determining the acceptance of assistance.
• Analysis of receipt of UPZ assistance.

Equations or calculation formula of the method is:

\[ V_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j r_{ij} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Information:

\( V_i \) = alternative final value
\( w_j \) = weight each criteria
3. Results and Discussion

Determination of acceptance of UPZ assistance is a decision made by the management so that it is expected that the determination of UPZ aid recipients can be done objectively. The criteria used to determine the recipients of UPZ assistance were ‘pendidikan ayah’, ‘pekerjaan ayah’, ‘penghasilan ayah’, ‘pendidikan ibu’, ‘pekerjaan ibu’, ‘penghasilan ibu’, and ‘jumlah saudara kandung’. Criteria are calculated using the SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) method so that alternatives are ranked. The following calculation of the SAW method is shown in the following steps:

3.1. SAW Calculation
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Table 1. The Weight of Each Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Inf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>Pendidikan ayah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>Pekerjaan ayah</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>Penghasilan ayah</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K4</td>
<td>Pendidikan ibu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K5</td>
<td>Pekerjaan ibu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K6</td>
<td>Penghasilan ibu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K7</td>
<td>Jumlah saudara kandung</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ben</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that the criteria for ‘pendidikan ayah’ is K1 with the weight of criterion 2, ‘pekerjaan ayah’ is K2 with the weight of criterion 3, ‘penghasilan ayah’ is K3 with the weight of criterion 4, ‘pendidikan ibu’ is K4 with the weight of criterion 3, ‘pekerjaan ibu’ is K5 with the weight of criterion 4, ‘penghasilan ibu’ is K6 with the weight of criterion 3 and the ‘jumlah saudara kandung’ is K7 with the weight of criterion 4. As well as determining each of these criteria benefit or cost.

Table 2. Value Conversion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>K2</th>
<th>K3</th>
<th>K4</th>
<th>K5</th>
<th>K6</th>
<th>K7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Astin Dwi Wulan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rahayuning Pamuji</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nur Laela</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 2 all data that is known is converted to numbers so that the data can be 1 to 5.

Table 3. Vector Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>K2</th>
<th>K3</th>
<th>K4</th>
<th>K5</th>
<th>K6</th>
<th>K7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Astin Dwi Wulan</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rahayuning Pamuji</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nur Laela</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 is known as a S vector value table. S vector values are generated from the values of each criterion calculated according to the SAW formula. The formula used adjusts each set of criteria, namely benefit or cost.
Table 4. Preference Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>K2</th>
<th>K3</th>
<th>K4</th>
<th>K5</th>
<th>K6</th>
<th>K7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Astin Dwi Wulan</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rahayuning Pamuji</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nur Laela</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows that the preference value is generated from the multiplication of each vector value against the specified weight. The weights used are 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 3, 4.

Table 5. Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Astin Dwi Wulan</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rahayuning Pamuji</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nur Laela</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Testing Result

Based on the results of the above test it can be seen that in Figure 2 the test results using the saw method produce that Astin Dwi Wulan is ranked first or the highest value. So that Astin Dwi Wulan can be used as the first recipient of UPZ assistance.

From table 5 the results of the calculation are generated from the sum of all values owned by each alternative data. Based on the results of these calculations indicate that the highest value is found at Astin Dwi Wulan. The results of the calculation of table 5 can be presented in Figure 1.

Fig. 1. Calculation result

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that SAW can be used for ranking the acceptance of UPZ assistance. It was produced that Astin Dwi Wulan became the first recipient of the designed system. The research suggestion is that methods can be combined with classification methods such as k-means, c-means, and knn.
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